

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant respectfully traverses and requests reconsideration.

The Examiner is thanked for the thorough examination and search of the subject and for finding allowable subject matter in the present application.

All Claims are believed to be in condition for Allowance, and that is so requested.

Claim 52 are amended.

Claims 52-56 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Andelman (USP. 6,127,474). Applicant notes the Examiner's finding of allowable subject matter in Claims 57-66 depending from 52. Applicant has reviewed Claims 57-66 and particularly Claims 57 and 62 that the Examiner has deemed in condition for allowance if re-written in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim. Based on this review, Claim 52 is now amended to include limitations that are present in Claims 57 and 62. In particular, Amended Claim 52 now includes a limitation wherein the molding of the conductive loaded, resin-based material includes "shaping said conductive loaded, resin-based material with a mold and curing said conductive loaded, resin-based material to thereby form an inductor or a capacitor of a LC resonator device." Amended Claim 52 is recited below:

52. (Currently Amended) A method to form a LC resonator device, said method comprising:

providing a conductive loaded, resin-based material comprising
conductive materials in a resin-based host; and


5 molding said conductive loaded, resin-based material wherein said
molding includes shaping said conductive loaded, resin-based material with a
mold and curing said conductive loaded, resin-based material to thereby form an
inductor or a capacitor of a LC resonator device ~~into said~~
10 ~~device.~~

Applicant believes that the additional limitations added to Claim 52 sufficiently cover the subject matter of original Claims 57 and 62 such that base Claim 52 is now in condition for allowance. In addition, if independent Claim 52 is allowable, then dependent Claims 52-56 add additional novel and non-obvious subject matter and should likewise be allowable.

Claims 57-66 stand objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicant references the relevant remarks above. Applicant believes that the amendment to Claim 52 places Claim 52 in condition for allowance. In addition, if independent Claim 52 is allowable, then dependent Claims 52-56 add additional novel and non-obvious subject matter and should likewise be allowable.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Applicant respectfully reasserts remarks in response to the previous office action. The Examiner is invited to contact the below listed attorney if the Examiner believes that a telephone conference will advance the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Douglas R. Schnabel".

Douglas R. Schnabel Reg. No. 47,927